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The heat capacity of two interacting electrons confined in a quantum dot presented in a magnetic field had been calculated by solving the Hamiltonian using variational method. We had investigated the dependence of the heat capacity on temperature, magnetic field and confining frequency. The singlet triplet transition in the ground state of the quantum dot spectra and the corresponding jumps in the heat capacity curves had been shown. The comparisons show that our results are in very good agreement with reported works.
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Chapter One

Introduction

1.1 Low dimensional crystal

Low dimensional systems such as quantum dots, quantum wires and quantum wells are semiconductors whose size confine the electrons in a limited size (few nanometers) in three, two and one dimension respectively. The confinement phenomena change significantly the density of state of the system and the energy spectra. For quantum dot (zero dimensional system) the density of state shows a discrete behavior unlike to the other confinements which have a continuous density of state, and means a fully quantized energy levels due to its three dimensional confinement. The density of state for these confinements are shown in Figure (1.1).

The nanofabrication techniques allow us to control precisely both the size and the shape of the low dimensional system. The electronic characteristics of a quantum dot (QD) depend strongly on the size and shape, and this unique parameter effects are impossible for bulk system. The diameter of the QDs is about 100 nm.
QDs, or artificial atoms, had been the subject of interest research due to its physical properties and great potential device applications such as quantum dot lasers, solar cells, single electron transistors and quantum computers [1-6]. The application of a magnetic field perpendicular to the dot plane will introduce an additional structure on the energy levels and on the correlation effects of the interacting electrons confined in a quantum dot.

In early 1980s, the first QD were successfully made in laboratory, this forced to investigate the properties of the quantum dot system and to study the effect of the size, material, and shape.
The QDs can be fabricated by two different ways, the first one is made by using lithography techniques of microchip manufacturing; and the second approach can be done by applying chemical processes to get a QD from bulk material.

1.2 Literature survey

The electronic properties of the quantum dots depend strongly on the interplay between electron-electron interaction (coulomb energy), confining potential, and the applied magnetic field. The existence of coulomb and parabolic potential makes the exact analytical solution of the quantum dot's Hamiltonian not possible.

Different theoretical methods had been used to solve the two electrons in a quantum dot Hamiltonian, to obtain the eigenenergies and eigenstates [7-23].

Maksym and Chakraborty [7] had used the diagonalization method to obtain the eigenenergies of interacting electrons in a magnetic field and show the transitions in the angular momentum of the ground state. They had also calculated the heat capacity curve for both interacting and non-interacting confined electrons in the QD presented in a magnetic field. The interacting model shows very different behavior from non-interacting electrons, and the oscillations in these thermodynamic quantities like magnetization ($\mathcal{M}$) and heat capacity ($C_V$) are attributed to the spin singlet-triplet transitions in the ground state energy of the quantum dot. This
transition had been shown as a peak in the current-voltage curve as displayed in figure (1.2). Wagner et.al.[8] had also studied this interesting QD system and predicted the oscillations between spin-singlet (Sin) and spin-triplet (Tri) ground state. This transition had been investigated experimentally by using single electron spectroscopy technique [9] for three terminal QD. This experimental energy eigenvalues curve had been presented in Ashoori work [2] figure (1.3), and a schematic picture of three terminal QD is presented in figure (1.4).

Figure (1.2) The source-drain current against the gate voltage for vertical QD.
Figure (1.3) The single-electron capacitance spectroscopy as function of magnetic field for a QD with various electron numbers.

Figure (1.4) Schematic picture of a three-terminal QD.

Taut [10] had managed to obtain the exact analytical results for the energy spectrum of two interacting electrons through a coulomb potential, confined in a QD, just for particular values of the magnetic field strength.
In Refs. [11, 12] the authors had solved the QD-Hamiltonian by variational method and obtained the ground state energies for various values of magnetic field (\(\omega_c\)), and confined frequency (\(\omega_0\)). In addition, they had performed exact numerical diagonalization for the Helium QD-Hamiltonian and obtained the energy spectra for zero and finite magnetic field strength. Kandemir [13, 14] had found the closed form solution for this QD Hamiltonian and the corresponding eigenstates for particular values of the magnetic field strength and confinement frequencies. Elsaid et.al.[15-19] had used the dimensional expansion technique, in different works, to study and solve the QD-Hamiltonian and obtain the energies of the two interacting electrons for any arbitrary ratio of coulomb to confinement energies and gave an explanation to the level crossings. De Groote et.al.[20] had also calculated the magnetization, susceptibility and heat capacity of helium like confined QDs and obtained the additional structure in the heat capacity. In a detailed study, Nguyen and Peeters [21] had considered the QD helium in the presence of a single magnetic ion and applied magnetic field taking into account the electron-electron correlation in many quantum dots. They had shown the dependence of these thermal and magnetic quantities: \(C_v\), \(M\) and \(\chi\) on the strength of the magnetic field, confinement frequency, magnetic ion position and temperature. They had observed that the crossings in the energy levels show up as peaks in the heat capacity and magnetization.
Very recently, Boyacioglu and Chatterjee [22] had studied the behavior of heat capacity of a single quantum dot confined with a Gaussian potential model. They had observed that the heat capacity curve shows peaks structure at low temperature. Helle et.al. [23] had computed the magnetization of a rectangular QD in a high magnetic field and the results show the oscillation and smooth behavior in the magnetization curve for both, interacting and non-interacting confined electrons, respectively.

1.3 Heterostructure and confinement potential

The nanofabrication methods allow to the researchers fabricate electronic structures where the electrons are confined in a small regions of the order of nanometers (QDs). The QD is a small island on a semiconductor heterostructure, where the shape of the QD and the number of the electrons can be controlled by an external voltage. A scanning tunneling microscope image is shown in figure (1.5) for double quantum dot (DQD) which had been charged with few electrons, in this case the QD
Figure (1.5) Scanning tunneling microscope images for single QDs fabricated from GaAs/AlGaAs and charged with few electrons.

is made from GaAs/AlGaAs semiconductor heterostructure. The heterostructure is growing by using the molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) method.

The AlGaAs layer is doped with Silicon donors in order to have free electrons in the heterostructure (n type AlGaAs). These free electrons move from AlGaAs layer with high band gap to GaAs layer with lower band gap. The electrons are trapped in the quantum well of GaAs layer. In this way we create a 2D structure where the motion of the electrons is quantized along the growth axis (z direction) while the electron is free to move in xy plane Fig (1.6).
Figure (1.6) Schematic picture for the mechanism of confining electrons in semiconductor QD heterostructure. a) 2DEG at the interface between GaAs and AlGaAs heterostructure. The electrons in the 2DEG is due to the ionization of silicon donors located in the n-AlGaAs layer. b) The metal electrodes on the surface of heterostructure are used to apply a negative voltage in order to deplete locally the electrons below 2DEG. In this way, we can confine the electrons in zero dimensions to obtain a QD system.

A negative voltage is finally applied on the surface of the heterostructure to reduce further the confinement region and creating one or more small islands from large two dimensional electron gas (2DEG) Fig (1.6 b) and Fig (1.7).

Lateral confinement potential $V(x, y)$ (due to the heterostructure of the QD) quite similar to Coulomb potential which confined the electron in the real atom, and from here we can called the QD to be artificial atom. The lateral confinement potential is usually taken as a simple parabolic model, the theoretical-experimental comparisons show that harmonic oscillator model is the best to describe this confinement.
Figure (1.7) Negative gate potentials used to confine the electrons in a double quantum dot (DQD).

1.4 Objectives

This research project has two objectives which can be summarized as follows:

- To use variational method to reproduce the eigenenergies spectra of the two electrons quantum dot Hamiltonian for different ranges of magnetic field strength $\omega_c$ and confining potential $\omega_0$.
- The eigenenergies obtained above will be used to study the dependence of heat capacity of the quantum dot system on $\omega_c$, $\omega_0$ and temperature ($T$).
1.5 Outlines of thesis

In this work, the heat capacity has been calculated as a thermodynamic quantity for a quantum dot helium atom in which both the magnetic field and the electron-electron interaction are fully taken into account. Since, the eigenvalues of the electrons in the QD are the starting point to calculate the physical properties of the QD system. The variational method have been used to solve the QD Hamiltonian and obtain the eigenenergies. Second, the eigenenergies spectra had been calculated to display theoretically the behavior of heat capacity of the QD as a function of magnetic field strength, confining frequency and temperature.

The rest of this thesis is organized as follows: the Hamiltonian theory, the principle of the variation of parameter technique and how to calculate the heat capacity from the mean energy expression are presented in chapter II. In chapter III, the results of energy and heat capacity of our work had been displayed and discussed, while the final chapter devoted for conclusions and future work.
Chapter Two
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2.1 Quantum Dot Hamiltonian

2.2 Variation of Parameter Method

2.3 Heat Capacity
Chapter Two

Theory

A detailed description for the theory of two electron quantum dot system and the method used will be given. The main three parts which consist the theory, namely: quantum dot Hamiltonian, variation of parameter method and the heat capacity will be presented in this chapter.

2.1 Quantum dot Hamiltonian

The effective mass Hamiltonian for two interacting electrons confined in a QD by a parabolic potential in a uniform magnetic field of strength \( B \), applied along \( z \) direction is given by

\[
H = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2m^*} \left[ \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r}_j) + \frac{e}{\epsilon} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_j) \right]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \hbar^2 \omega_c^2 \frac{\hbar^2}{m^*} \frac{\hbar^2}{m^*} \right\} + \frac{\hbar^2}{\epsilon \hbar \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2} \tag{2.1}
\]

Where \( \omega_c \) is the confining frequency and \( \epsilon \) is the dielectric constant \( \mathbf{r}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{r}_2 \) describe the positions of the first and second electron in the xy plane and the vector potential was taken to be:

\[
[\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{r}] = \frac{1}{2} B \mathbf{r} \tag{2.2}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \prod \begin{bmatrix} 3 \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{p} = 0 \tag{2.3}
\]

\[
[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{p}] = 0 \tag{2.4}
\]

\[
\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} B \times \mathbf{r} \tag{2.4}
\]
Expressing the Hamiltonian explicitly in terms of coordinates and momenta we get:

\[
H = \frac{1}{2m} \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} r^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^2 r^2 - \frac{e_2}{e |r_2 - r_1|} \\
\left( p_1 + \frac{e A[r_1]}{c} \right)^2 + \left( p_2 + \frac{e A[r_2]}{c} \right)^2
\end{array} \right]
\]  

(2.5)

By using the standard coordinate transformation, the quantum dot Hamiltonian can be decoupled into center of mass (R) and relative (r) parts.

\[
\begin{align*}
R &= \frac{r_1 + r_2}{2} \\
\omega_R &= \omega_0 + \omega_1 \\
rR &= p_1 + p_2 \\
\omega_R &= r^2 - \frac{e A[r_1]}{c} \omega_1 \\
p_r &= r^2 - \frac{e A[r_2]}{c} \omega_1
\end{align*}
\]  

(2.6) - (2.9)

So the Hamiltonian can be written as

\[
H = \frac{1}{2m} \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\left( e A \frac{r}{c} - \frac{e A}{2} \right)^2 \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^2 (r^2 + R)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^2 (r^2 + R)^2 + \frac{e^2}{r \epsilon}
\end{array} \right]
\]  

(2.10)

The confining potential terms can be expressed as:

\[
\frac{1}{2m} \left( \frac{r}{2} + R \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2m} \left( \frac{r}{2} + R \right)^2 = \frac{1}{4} m r^2 \omega_0^2 + m R^2 \omega_0^2
\]  

(2.11)
Using the linear property of the vector potential, we can separate kinetic energy terms into center of mass and relative part:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{terms} & \quad \text{center} & \quad \text{mass and relative parts} \\
\left( \frac{eA[-\frac{r}{c}]+R}{2m} ight)^2 - \left( \frac{eA[R+r]+P_B}{2m} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{eA[R+r]+P_R}{2m} \right)^2 \\
& = \frac{\left( \frac{eA[r]}{2c} + P_r \right)^2}{2m} + \frac{\left( \frac{2eA[R]}{c} + P_R \right)^2}{4m} \\
& = \frac{1}{4m} m^2 \omega_0^2 + \frac{e^2}{\epsilon r} 
\end{align*}
\]

(2.12)

The full QD Hamiltonian in \( R, r \) coordinates has the following form:

\[
H = \frac{\left( \frac{eA[r]}{2c} + P_r \right)^2}{2m} + \frac{\left( \frac{2eA[R]}{c} + P_R \right)^2}{4m} + \frac{1}{4} m^2 \omega_0^2 + mR^2 \omega_0^2 + \frac{e^2}{\epsilon r} 
\]

(2.13)

Finally, the complete two electron QD Hamiltonian is separated into center of mass Hamiltonian \( H_{\text{CM}} \) and relative Hamiltonian Part \( H_r \) as shown below:

\[
H = H_{\text{CM}} + H_r 
\]

(2.14)

\[
H_{\text{CM}} = \frac{1}{2M} \left[ p_r^2 + \frac{Q}{c} A(R) \right] + \frac{1}{2} M \omega_0^2 R^2 
\]

(2.15)

\[
H_r = \frac{1}{2} \left[ p_r^2 + \frac{q}{c} A(r) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^2 r^2 + \frac{e^2}{\epsilon |r|} 
\]

(2.16)

Where \( M \) is the total mass = 2\( m \), \( Q \) is the total charge = 2\( e \), \( \mu \) is reduce mass = \( \frac{m}{2} \), and \( q \) is the reduce charge = \( \frac{e}{2} \)

The corresponding energy of this Hamiltonian equation (2.14) is:
The center of mass Hamiltonian has the harmonic oscillator form which has well known fully analytical solution for wave function and energy that was found independently by Fock [24] and Darwin [25] to be respectively:

\[ \psi^{n,m}(R) = (-1)^n \frac{\beta^{[m+1]}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \frac{n!}{(n + |m|)!} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta^2 R^2/2} R^{|m|} L_n^{|m|} \beta^2 R^2 e^{im\phi} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.18)

\[ E_{n_{\text{cm}},m_{\text{cm}}} = (2n_{\text{cm}} + |m_{\text{cm}}| + 1) \hbar \sqrt{\frac{\omega_e^2 + \omega_o^2}{4} + m_{\text{cm}} \frac{\hbar \omega_e}{2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.19)

Where \( R \) and \( \phi \) are the polar coordinates, \( n_{\text{cm}}, m_{\text{cm}} \) are the radial and azimuthal quantum numbers, respectively. And \( L_n^{|m|} \) is the associated Laguerre polynomial, and \( \beta = \sqrt{\frac{m \omega}{\hbar}} \), where \( \omega = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_e^2 + \omega_o^2}{4}} \).

Due to existence of both coulomb and parabolic terms, The relative Hamiltonian part equation (2.16) does not have an analytical solution for all ranges of \( \omega_o \) and \( \omega_e \), therefore, in this case we will use the variational method as an approximation method to find the energy spectra for the relative Hamiltonian in terms of a variational parameter.

By the help of a symmetric gauge, the relative Hamiltonian part can be written as:
\[
H_r = \frac{1}{2\mu} \left( \frac{q}{c} \right)^2 B^2 r^2 + \left( -1 \right) \frac{q}{c} \cdot \frac{B^2}{r^2} + \frac{1}{2} \mu \omega^2 r^2 + \frac{e^2}{\varepsilon |r|}
\] (2.20)

Where the magnetic field is uniform with strength \( \omega_c = \frac{eB}{mc} \) taken to be along z direction

\[
H_r = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{16} \omega_c m r^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_c l r + \frac{1}{4} m \omega^2 r^2 + \frac{e}{|r|}
\] (2.21)

\[
= \frac{p^2}{m} + \frac{1}{4} m r^2 \left( \frac{\omega_c^2}{4} + \omega^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \omega_c l r + \frac{e}{|r|}
\] (2.22)

The effective frequency is a sum of a nanostructure confining frequency and the magnetic field confining frequency, using a new parameter \( \alpha \) defined as follow:

\[
\alpha = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_c^2}{4} + \omega^2}
\] (2.23)

Now, The relative Hamiltonian is:

\[
H_r = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{4} m r^2 \left( \frac{\omega_c^2}{4} + \omega^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \omega_c l r + \frac{e}{|r|}
\] (2.24)

Which can be expressed in an operator form as:

\[
H_{\text{op}} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \frac{1}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \omega_c + \frac{e^2}{\varepsilon |r|}
\] (2.25)
Where

\[ L_x = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \]  
\[ = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \]  
\[ p_r = -i\hbar \nabla \]  
\[ i' = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \]  
\[ \nabla_x = r^{-1/2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} r^{1/2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \psi^2} + \frac{1}{4} \right) \]

\[ \text{(2.26)} \]
\[ \text{(2.27)} \]
\[ \text{(2.28)} \]

2.2 Variation of parameter method

As we have mentioned earlier, we will use the variational method as an approximation method to calculate the desired energy eigenvalues of the relative part Hamiltonian of the two-electron quantum dot.

The principle idea for variational method that choosing the variational wave function with parameters \( C_i \)

\[ \Psi = \prod_{i} C_i \phi_i(C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_f) \]  
\[ \text{(2.29)} \]

and calculate the energy by solving Schrödinger equation

\[ \text{solv } H \Psi = E \Psi \]  
\[ \text{(2.30)} \]

To find the energy in terms of the variational parameter, we should minimize the energy expression \( E(C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_f) \) with respect to each variational parameter \( C_i \) to reach a stable system:

\[ \frac{\partial E}{\partial C_i} = 0 \]  
\[ \text{(2.31)} \]
For $i = 1, 2, \ldots$

In our problem, the adopted one parameter variational wave function is [26]:

$$
\psi(r) = \sqrt{\frac{\beta r}{\pi \alpha}} e^{im\phi} \sqrt{2\pi} e^{\frac{r^2}{4}}
$$

(2.33)

Where

$$
u_m(\rho) = \rho^{1/2 + |m|}(1 + \beta \rho) e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{2}}
$$

(2.34)

$$
\rho = \sqrt{\alpha r}
$$

(2.35)

We can write Schrödinger equation with complete relative Hamiltonian form and full variational wave function, in differential operator form, it takes the following picture:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left[ -\hbar^2 \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \rho^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \Phi^2} + \frac{1}{4} \right) + 4m \rho^2 \alpha^2 \\
- \frac{1}{2} \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi} \left( \omega_c + \frac{e^2}{\epsilon |r|} \right) + \sqrt{\alpha} \frac{u_m(\rho)}{\sqrt{2\pi \rho}} e^{i m \phi}
\end{align*}
$$

(2.36)
Simplify the equation to be:

\[
\left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{e^2} \right) \right) \frac{\ell^2}{e \ell} u_m(\rho) = E_{\ell m} u_m(\rho)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.37)

In our calculations, we have used the following Atomic Rydberg units:

\[ e^2 = 2, \hbar = 1, m = 1, \epsilon = 1 \]

Finally, the equation for a relative Hamiltonian coordinate part is

\[
-2 \frac{\text{eq}}{\text{relat}} \frac{\text{for}}{\text{relat}} \frac{\text{Hamilton}}{\text{nor}} \frac{\text{mate}}{\text{mate}}
\]

We have normalized our wave function

\[
u_m(\rho) = \frac{1}{c_m} \rho^{1/2 + |m|} (1 + \beta \rho) e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.39)

Where \( \beta \) is the variational parameter, the normalizing constant is given as

\[
c_m^2 = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\gamma + \beta^2 \gamma [1 + |m|] + \beta^2 |m| \Gamma [1 + |m|] + 2 \beta \Gamma \left[ \frac{3}{2} + |m| \right] \Gamma} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.40)

The above normalization constant can be written in terms of a new constant

\[
C_m = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{d + e \beta + f \beta^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.41)
Where

\[ d = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma [1 + |m|] \]  
(2.41)

\[ e = \Gamma \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\alpha}} [1 + |m|] \]  
(2.42)

\[ f = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma [2 + |m|] \]  
(2.43)

We have found the energy spectra of the relative Hamiltonian part:

\[
E_r = \frac{1}{2} \omega c \alpha + \frac{C_{\text{he}} + 2\alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \Gamma \Gamma |m| + \frac{m^2}{2\sqrt{\alpha}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \beta^2 \Gamma |m| + \frac{1}{2} \beta \Gamma \left[ \frac{1}{2} + |m| \right] + \frac{1}{2} \beta \Gamma \left[ \frac{3}{2} + |m| \right] + \beta \Gamma \left[ \frac{5}{2} + |m| \right] + \beta \Gamma \left[ 2 + |m| \right] + \beta^2 \Gamma \left[ 1 + |m| \right]
\]
(2.44)

Which can be written as

\[ \dot{E}_r(\beta) = -\frac{1}{2} m \omega c + \frac{2}{\alpha} \left( \frac{a + b \beta + c \beta}{d + e \beta + f \beta} \right) \]  
(2.45)

where

\[ a = \frac{e}{(2 |m| + 1) \sqrt{\alpha}} + 2f \]  
(2.46)

\[ b = \frac{2d}{\sqrt{\alpha}} + 2(|m| + 1)e \]  
(2.47)
\[ c = \frac{e}{2\sqrt{\alpha}} + (2|m|z + 4|m| + 3)d \]  

(2.48)

d, e, f which is previously defined in Equation (2.41-2.43) respectively.

The energy eigenvalues of \( H_r \) can be obtained by minimizing the energy expression equation (2.45) with respect to the variational parameter \( \beta \) namely

\[ \frac{\partial E}{\partial \beta} = 0, \quad \beta_{min} \geq 0 \]  

(2.49)

The value of the parameter \( \beta \) which satisfies the minimum energy requirement is

\[ \beta_{min} = \frac{2}{cd - 2af - \sqrt{(cd - 2af)^2 - 4(bd - ae)(ce - bf)}} \]  

(2.50)

So, the final energy expression in terms of the variational parameter value which satisfies the minimization condition is

\[ E_r(\beta_{min}) = \frac{1}{2m\omega^2} + \frac{2}{\alpha} \frac{a + bf_{min}}{d + e\beta_{min}} + \frac{2}{\beta_{min}} \]

(2.51)

Having obtained the eigenenergies for the QD system for any state labeled by \( n, m \) quantum number, now we are able to calculate the exchange energy (J) defined as the difference between the singlet (S=0, L is even) and triplet (S=1, L is odd) states:

\[ J_{dd} = E_{tri} - E_{sin} \]  

(2.52)

For any range magnetic field and confining potential.
2.3 Heat capacity

The heat capacity $C_v$ is defined as the amount of energy which is needed to increase the temperature of the system by one degree, and it is considered the most important thermal property.

To calculate the heat capacity of the two electron quantum dot system, first, we have evaluate the mean energy from the statistical energy expression [27]:

$$
\langle E(T, B, \omega) \rangle = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N E_n e^{-E_n / k_B T}}{\sum_{n=1}^N e^{-E_n / k_B T}}
$$

(2.53)

Where $T$ is the temperature and $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant.

Now, the heat capacity can be calculated from the temperature derivative of the mean energy of the QD.

$$
C_v (T, B, \omega) = \frac{\partial \langle E(T, B, \omega) \rangle}{\partial T}
$$

(2.54)

We have computed numerical value of the heat capacity of the QD system for various ranges of the magnetic field $\omega_c$, confining frequency $\omega_0$ and temperature $T$. The obtained results are displayed in the next chapter.
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Chapter Three

Result and Discussion

3.1 Energy spectra

Our computed results for two interacting electrons in a quantum dot made from GaAs material \((m^* = 0.067 \, m_e, R^* = 5.825 \, meV)\) are presented in this chapter.

In the absence of the magnetic field, the states of the QD system are degenerate as shown in figure (3.1), while for finite strength of magnetic field, the degeneracy of the states are removed.

![Figure (3.1) The energy spectra of Fock-Darwin states and the corresponding degeneracy.](image)

We had plotted the computed energy results of this work against the strength of the magnetic field for \(\omega_0 = \frac{2}{3} \, R^*\) for both non-interacting Figure (3.2) and interacting Figure (3.3) electrons. The non-interacting
graph shows that the ground state energy level (0,0) remains the lowest energy level as magnetic field increase while the interacting case shows clearly the transition in the angular momentum of the ground state of the QD system as the magnetic field increases. The origin of these transitions is due to the effect of coulomb interaction energy in the QD Hamiltonian. These transitions in the angular momentum of the QD system correspond to the (singlet-triplet) transitions are expected to manifest themselves as cusps in the heat capacity curve of the QD. The present results also show very good agreement compared with Dyblaski’s result [27], where the authors had used the same variational wave function. In addition, we had displayed the energy result for interacting electrons in table 1, and one could clearly notice the transition of ground state angular momentum when the magnetic field strength increase.

Figure (3.2) The dependence of the relative motion energy spectra on the magnetic field for two non-interacting electrons in a QD at confining frequency \( \omega_0 = \frac{2}{3} R^* \)
Figure (3.3) The computed relative motion energy spectra of two interacting electrons quantum dot against the strength of the magnetic field for $\omega_0 = \frac{2}{3} R^*$, and angular momentum $m_r = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3$. 
Table (3.1) The relative motion energy spectra of the QD states \((m=0,1,2,\ldots,8)\) against the magnetic field for two interacting electrons for \(\alpha_o = \frac{2}{3} R^*\) (the underlined energy values show the angular momentum transitions of the ground state of the QD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(m)</th>
<th>(0.0)</th>
<th>(1.0)</th>
<th>(2.0)</th>
<th>(3.0)</th>
<th>(4.0)</th>
<th>(5.0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.69986</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.52194</td>
<td>3.10835</td>
<td>3.72268</td>
<td>4.3519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.7035</td>
<td>1.95475</td>
<td>2.42832</td>
<td>2.96647</td>
<td>3.5326</td>
<td>4.11363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.71434</td>
<td>1.91291</td>
<td>2.34733</td>
<td>2.8407</td>
<td>3.36213</td>
<td>3.8963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.73217</td>
<td>1.89223</td>
<td>2.27866</td>
<td>2.70363</td>
<td>3.21093</td>
<td>3.70632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.75667</td>
<td>1.8743</td>
<td>2.22179</td>
<td>2.6356</td>
<td>3.0786</td>
<td>3.53577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.7874</td>
<td>1.86462</td>
<td>2.17605</td>
<td>2.55479</td>
<td>2.96258</td>
<td>3.38581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.82387</td>
<td>1.85258</td>
<td>2.14066</td>
<td>2.48723</td>
<td>2.8633</td>
<td>3.25504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.86555</td>
<td>1.84754</td>
<td>2.11479</td>
<td>2.43186</td>
<td>2.77966</td>
<td>3.1418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.91192</td>
<td>1.82885</td>
<td>2.08759</td>
<td>2.38763</td>
<td>2.70826</td>
<td>3.04511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.96243</td>
<td>1.85855</td>
<td>2.06821</td>
<td>2.35346</td>
<td>2.64992</td>
<td>2.96291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.01661</td>
<td>1.91795</td>
<td>2.08586</td>
<td>2.32835</td>
<td>2.60271</td>
<td>2.89393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.07907</td>
<td>1.94466</td>
<td>2.08978</td>
<td>2.31335</td>
<td>2.56647</td>
<td>2.8368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.13411</td>
<td>1.97515</td>
<td>2.09927</td>
<td>2.30157</td>
<td>2.53714</td>
<td>2.79028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.19664</td>
<td>2.00926</td>
<td>2.1137</td>
<td>2.29823</td>
<td>2.51676</td>
<td>2.75322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.26124</td>
<td>2.04646</td>
<td>2.13252</td>
<td>2.30061</td>
<td>2.50345</td>
<td>2.7246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.32761</td>
<td>2.08637</td>
<td>2.15523</td>
<td>2.30808</td>
<td>2.49643</td>
<td>2.70348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.39548</td>
<td>2.12687</td>
<td>2.18137</td>
<td>2.32005</td>
<td>2.49502</td>
<td>2.68905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.46465</td>
<td>2.17307</td>
<td>2.21055</td>
<td>2.33605</td>
<td>2.49858</td>
<td>2.6806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.53492</td>
<td>2.2193</td>
<td>2.24244</td>
<td>2.35561</td>
<td>2.50661</td>
<td>2.67744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.60611</td>
<td>2.26715</td>
<td>2.27673</td>
<td>2.37837</td>
<td>2.51861</td>
<td>2.67912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.67808</td>
<td>2.31643</td>
<td>2.31314</td>
<td>2.40396</td>
<td>2.53417</td>
<td>2.68502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.75072</td>
<td>2.36696</td>
<td>2.35146</td>
<td>2.4321</td>
<td>2.55291</td>
<td>2.69476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.82391</td>
<td>2.41859</td>
<td>2.39147</td>
<td>2.46252</td>
<td>2.57451</td>
<td>2.70794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.89755</td>
<td>2.4712</td>
<td>2.43299</td>
<td>2.49498</td>
<td>2.59869</td>
<td>2.72422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.97157</td>
<td>2.52467</td>
<td>2.47587</td>
<td>2.52929</td>
<td>2.62518</td>
<td>2.74329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.04921</td>
<td>2.5819</td>
<td>2.52989</td>
<td>2.56824</td>
<td>2.6583</td>
<td>2.7649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.12049</td>
<td>2.63381</td>
<td>2.56515</td>
<td>2.6027</td>
<td>2.68426</td>
<td>2.7888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.19527</td>
<td>2.68931</td>
<td>2.61192</td>
<td>2.64151</td>
<td>2.71646</td>
<td>2.81478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.2702</td>
<td>2.74535</td>
<td>2.65837</td>
<td>2.68154</td>
<td>2.75023</td>
<td>2.84265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.34525</td>
<td>2.80186</td>
<td>2.70623</td>
<td>2.72269</td>
<td>2.78541</td>
<td>2.87225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.42038</td>
<td>2.85879</td>
<td>2.7548</td>
<td>2.76485</td>
<td>2.8219</td>
<td>2.90343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.49556</td>
<td>2.91609</td>
<td>2.80403</td>
<td>2.80793</td>
<td>2.85957</td>
<td>2.93605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.57077</td>
<td>2.97372</td>
<td>2.85386</td>
<td>2.85186</td>
<td>2.89832</td>
<td>2.96999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.64599</td>
<td>3.03164</td>
<td>2.90422</td>
<td>2.89655</td>
<td>2.93806</td>
<td>3.00515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.72119</td>
<td>3.08953</td>
<td>2.95507</td>
<td>2.94195</td>
<td>2.97872</td>
<td>3.04143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.79636</td>
<td>3.14825</td>
<td>3.00638</td>
<td>2.988</td>
<td>3.02022</td>
<td>3.07873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.87149</td>
<td>3.20687</td>
<td>3.05609</td>
<td>3.03464</td>
<td>3.06249</td>
<td>3.11699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.94656</td>
<td>3.2656</td>
<td>3.11017</td>
<td>3.08182</td>
<td>3.10548</td>
<td>3.15612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.02157</td>
<td>3.32466</td>
<td>3.16259</td>
<td>3.12951</td>
<td>3.14912</td>
<td>3.19606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.0965</td>
<td>3.38377</td>
<td>3.21532</td>
<td>3.17766</td>
<td>3.19337</td>
<td>3.23676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>Magnetic field strength</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continue of the table
The angular momentum dependence on confinement frequency is displayed in figure (3.4)

![Singlet-triplet phase diagram](image)

Figure (3.4) The singlet-triplet phase diagram of the QD. Confining frequency \( \omega_0 \) against the strength of the magnetic field \( \omega_c \).

To deeply understand this transition we had plotted the singlet-triplet gap for specific confinement frequency \( \omega_0 = \frac{2}{3} \), which clearly shows that the ground state angular momentum changed from \( l = 0 \) to \( l = 1 \) at certain magnetic field values which depend on the confinement frequency figure (3.5).
The exchange energy of the two interacting electrons in a QD against the magnetic field strength for $\omega_0 = \frac{2}{3} R^*$.

### 3.2 Heat capacity

In Figure (3.6) we had shown the behavior of the heat capacity $C_V$ against the temperature for different values of the confining frequency $\omega_0$, while keeping $\omega_c$ unchanged. For particular confining frequency $\omega_0$, the heat capacity curve shows a peak value at low temperature, while at high temperature degrees the heat capacity saturates. This behavior for the heat capacity is in agreement with the results of Refs.[21, 22, 28, 29]. As the confining frequency increases, the peak of the heat capacity shifts to a higher temperature, numerical values of the heat capacity against the temperature are shown in Table (2).
In Figure (3.7) we had shown the dependence of the heat capacity on the magnetic field strength for fixed values of the confining frequency and temperature. The heat capacity shows a peak structure which is a result of the transition in the angular momentum of the ground state energy as shown and discussed previously in Figure (3.3). For example, the first peak corresponds to the transition in the angular momentum of the ground state from $m_r = 0$ to $m_r = 1$. 

**Figure (3.6)** The dependence of the heat capacity on the temperature for fixed value of magnetic field $\omega_c = 0.5 \, \text{R}^*$ and various confinement frequencies: $\omega_o = \frac{2}{3} \, \text{R}^*$ Solid, $\omega_o = 0.6 \, \text{R}^*$ Dashed.
Table (3.2) The heat capacity of two interacting electrons QD against the temperature for $\omega_o = \frac{2}{3} R^*$ and $\omega_c = 0.5 R^*$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T</th>
<th>$\omega_o$</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>$\omega_o$</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>$\omega_o$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.335805</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.447141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.332201</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.45238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.329137</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.457594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6.58844 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.326607</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.462777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.000307524</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.324599</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.467926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0278886</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.323101</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.473036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0113384</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.322095</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.478103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.0294182</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.321565</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.483125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0579614</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.32149</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.488098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.0953708</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.321852</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.493019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.138626</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.322628</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.497886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.184392</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.323799</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.502697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.229715</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.325342</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.507448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.272334</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.327236</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>0.51214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.310731</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.329461</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>0.51677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.34404</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.331996</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.521336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.371918</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.33482</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.525838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.394398</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.337914</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.530274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.411768</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.341258</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.534645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.424471</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.344833</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.538948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.433031</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.348621</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.543184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.352606</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.547353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.439223</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.356769</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.551453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.439318</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.361095</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>0.555486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.43666</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.365569</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.55945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.432375</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.370176</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.563346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.426842</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.374901</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.567175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.420389</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.379731</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.570936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.413301</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.384654</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.57463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.405816</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.389657</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.57828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.398139</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.394729</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.581819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.390437</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.399859</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.585315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.38285</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.405037</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>0.588745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.37549</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.410254</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>0.592112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.368449</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.415499</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>0.595415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.361795</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.420765</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.59865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.355584</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.426044</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>0.601833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.349856</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.431327</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>0.60495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.34464</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.436609</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.608006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.339953</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.441882</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>0.611003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure (3.7) The heat capacity as function of magnetic field strength for fixed value of temperature \( T = 0.1 \text{ K} \) and confinement frequency \( \omega_0 = \frac{2}{3} R^* \).
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Conclusion and future work

In conclusion, we had applied the variational method to solve the Hamiltonian for interacting electrons confined parabolically in a quantum dot subjected to a magnetic field. We had used the one variation parameter wave function to get the minimized energy expression. In addition, we had shown the angular momentum transitions in the ground state of GaAs/AlGaAs quantum dot spectra. These level crossings which result of Coulomb interaction cause oscillations in the heat capacity curve of the quantum dot. In addition we had investigated the dependence of the heat capacity of the QD on the system parameters $\omega_0$, $\omega_c$, and $T$. The results of both, the eigenenergies and the heat capacity, calculated by variational method show very good agreement comparable with other recent works.

In this work we had taken the heat capacity as a thermal property of the QD system, however another thermodynamic and magnetic quantities like magnetization and magnetic susceptibility can be considered in the future. We expect that the angular momentum transition of the ground state will affect significantly the magnetic properties of the QD. In addition the electronic and magnetic properties of few electrons QD are important issues to be studied.
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المختصر

تم حساب السعة الحرارية لزوج من الإلكترونات المشددة والمحصورة في نقطة كمية
وال주يمة أيضاً في مجال مغناطيسي وذلك عن طريق حل دالة هاملتون باستخدام طريقة
المتغيرات. ولقد قمنا بدراسة اعتماد السعة الحرارية على كل من درجة الحرارة والمجال
المغناطيسي بالإضافة لتزدد الحصر. كما وضحت الدراسة الانتقال الأحادي-الثلاثي للزخم الزاوي
للمستوى الأرضي والفقازات في منحنى السعة الحرارية الناتجة عنه. وأظهرت المقارنات توافق كبير
بين نتائجنا مع نتائج أعمال أخرى منشورة.